

RESPONSE

The Office Action mailed December 2, 2004 has been reviewed and carefully considered.


Claims 1-19 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting in co-pending U.S. patent application serial No. 10/092,742. A properly executed Terminal Disclaimer has been submitted with this response. The Terminal disclaimer fee of \$55.00 (small entity) set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d) was submitted with the previous submission of this TerminalDisclaimer.

During applicant's review of the application before allowance, a slight error in Figure 6 has been noted. In particular, Figure 6 did not show the IR window 63 and RF antenna 65 as recited at page 12, lines 1-6 of the originally filed specification. A replacement sheet for Figure 6 is enclosed for the Examiner's incorporation into the application. No new matter has been added.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of the claims set forth in the Office Action of December 2, 2004 be withdrawn, that pending claims 1-19 be allowed, and that the case proceed to early issuance of Letters Patent in due course.

It is believed that no additional fees or charges are currently due. However, in the event that any additional fees or charges are required at this time in connection with the application, they may be charged to applicant's representatives Deposit Account No. 50-1433.

Respectfully submitted,
KEUSEY, TUTUNJIAN & BITETTO, P.C.


John G. Tutunjian
(Reg. No. 39, 405)
14 Vanderventer Avenue, Suite 128
Port Washington, New York 11050
(516) 883-3868

Dated: January 25, 2005